Most societies have their homeless people. Some people think that the best way to help them is to give them money.

To what extent do you agree?

Unfortunately for many <u>it</u> is unaffordable to have a place to live<u>in</u>. Believing that helping them monetarily is the best approach, <u>many</u> the people feel it is the only way to encounter this issue. However, there are much more beneficial ways which can be triedy.

One of the most important reasons to cause house deprivation is jobless<u>ness</u>. Offering a job can support them <u>in supplying to supply an</u> accommodation for them<u>selves</u> which is primarily incumbent upon the government or some relevant organizations. Not only is it in favor of those poverty-stricken people, but also it has many positive sides for society as a whole because wandering and living in the street, the dispossessed people will probably create some problems and insecurity for others or even commit crime.

<u>Running housing projects for instance Allocating some facilities such as a</u> <u>campaign</u> where <u>the impoverished are offered prepare convenience including</u> <u>social society</u> services, medical insurance which leads them to change their lives positively as opposed to when they are just provided with money which entails many negative consequences that is to say developing bad habits such as depending on other peoples' investment, wasting charitable individuals' financial aids on irrelevant purposes, failing to be responsible for meeting their own needs and much more besides.

As the saying goes, I would argue that if the government considers to minimizing/reducing repel unemployment and to spare no effort for the welfare of this vulnerable community it will pave the way for them those to stand on their own feet and provide a property for living.

Arefeh

